

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		9926-003-999	5583
09/536,552	03/28/2000	Andrew L. Mason	<del>yy</del> 20-003- <del>yy</del> y	
20303	590 08/22/2002		EXAMINER	
PENNIE ANI 1155 AVENUE	OF THE AMERICAS		EPPS, JANET L	
NEW YORK,	NY 100362711		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	2,
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/200	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/536,552	MASON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Janet L Epps, Ph.D.	1635	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED - FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under standard from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 March 2002</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
<ul><li>(a)</li></ul>			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2, for the reasons of record</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-10 for the reasons of record.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office			

\*Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants have introduced new limitations into claims, 3-4, and 7, these new limitations would require further consideration and/or search. Additionally, Applicant's amendment raises new issues under 35 USC, 112, second paragraph, since it is unclear if the filter-bound DNA recited in the instant claims corresponds to a nucleic acid molecule encoded by SEQ ID NO: 1-7.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's arguments appear to be directed to the claims as amended. Since Applicant's amendment has not been entered, these arguments are considered moot...

SEAN McGARRY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
1635